International Journal of Engineering Research and Science & Technology

www.ijerst.org

IF: 9.625

ISSN : 2319-5991



International Conference on Ensuring Global Food Safety-ICEGFS-2026

Vol. 22 No. 4(S) (2026)

ijerst.editor@gmail.com

editor@ijerst.com

International Conference on Ensuring Global Food Safety-ICEGFS-2026

ISSN 2319-5991 www.ijerst.com

© 2026 IJERST. All Rights Reserved

ENSURING GLOBAL FOOD SAFETY: A COMMERCE AND AGRI-BUSINESS PERSPECTIVE ON SUPPLY CHAIN RESILIENCE, REGULATORY HARMONIZATION, AND TRADE COMPLIANCE

Dr. Thiruveedhula Narahari

Lecturer in Commerce, SCNR Government Degree College, Proddatur

YSR Kadapa District, Andhra Pradesh, India | Email: naraharit0@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Ensuring global food safety has emerged as a fundamental determinant of sustainable international commerce, cross-border agricultural trade, and macroeconomic stability. In an interconnected world characterized by elongated and highly fragmented agri-food supply chains, food safety is no longer merely a public health imperative; it represents a strategic commercial necessity governing market access, non-tariff trade barriers, transaction costs, and firm competitiveness. This research paper examines the multi-dimensional commercial dynamics of global food safety governance, focusing on the interface between Sanitary and Phytosanitary (SPS) compliance, cold-chain logistics investment, private quality certification standards (such as GlobalG.A.P., BRCGS, and ISO 22000), and institutional trade facilitation. Grounded in New Institutional Economics and Transaction Cost Theory, the study investigates the structural, financial, and operational barriers encountered by agricultural producers, exporters, and agribusiness micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) in developing economies, with specific empirical context drawn from Indian agricultural exports. Employing an explanatory, mixed-method analytical approach utilizing secondary macro-level trade datasets from UN Comtrade, the World Trade Organization (WTO), the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), APEDA, and interviews with export trade intermediaries, the study evaluates the incidence and economic ramifications of border rejection notifications, import alerts, and SPS-related non-tariff measures. The quantitative and thematic results indicate that compliance asymmetries, stringent maximum residue limits (MRLs), high certification recurring costs, and infrastructural bottlenecks in cold-chain integrity disproportionately penalize smallholder agricultural supply chains, creating substantial export revenue volatility. Furthermore, the findings reveal that while private food safety standards elevate transaction costs in the short run, institutionalized compliance mechanisms yield significant long-term commercial dividends by mitigating product recall risks, securing premium pricing in regulated global markets, and fostering supply chain traceability via emerging digital architectures such as blockchain-enabled provenance. The paper provides actionable commercial and policy recommendations, advocating for harmonized multilateral regulatory frameworks, cluster-level food safety infrastructure development, credit-linked financial subsidies for MSME certification, and capacity-building initiatives to integrate smallholders into sustainable, compliant global agri-food value chains.

Keywords: Global Food Safety, Agri-Business Commerce, SPS Measures, Non-Tariff Barriers, Supply Chain Resilience, Traceability, MSMEs.

Received: 17-09-2026 Accepted: 22-10-2026 Published: 29-10-2026

1. INTRODUCTION

International commerce in agricultural and food commodities has undergone unprecedented transformation over the past three decades. Driven by trade liberalization, multilateral tariff reductions, containerization, and shifting dietary preferences toward high-value, perishable foodstuffs, global food trade has expanded into a complex, trillion-dollar commercial ecosystem. However, this deepening integration has concurrently amplified commercial and systemic vulnerabilities associated with food safety hazards, biological contamination, chemical adulteration, and pathogenic outbreaks. In contemporary commercial architecture, food safety transcends conventional biosecurity or public health agendas; it serves as the ultimate arbiter of market entry, contractual viability, brand equity, and export performance.

Within the commercial framework of the World Trade Organization (WTO), the Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (SPS Agreement) and the Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) establish the legal boundaries within which sovereign nations may enforce standards to protect human, animal, and plant health. Nevertheless, the proliferation of increasingly stringent, frequently disparate national standards alongside private commercial standards—such as the Global Food Safety Initiative (GFSI) benchmarks, British Retail Consortium Global Standards (BRCGS), and International Featured Standards (IFS)—has created formidable non-tariff barriers (NTBs). For agri-food enterprises, particularly micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) and smallholder aggregating farmer producer organizations (FPOs) in emerging economies like India, navigating these intricate compliance regimes poses profound operational and capital allocation challenges.

From an economic and commercial viewpoint, unsafe food generates colossal negative externalities. Beyond the devastating human health toll highlighted by the World Health Organization (WHO)—which estimates that 600 million people fall ill annually from contaminated food—the World Bank estimates that foodborne illnesses inflict a staggering $110 billion loss in productivity and medical expenses annually on low- and middle-income countries. In commercial terms, cross-border border rejections, consignments destroyed at foreign ports of entry, rapid product recalls, and sudden import alerts dismantle vendor credit ratings, forfeit supplier contracts, depress farm-gate prices, and erode national trade goodwill. Consequently, examining the commercial mechanics of food safety management, supply chain traceability, and regulatory compliance is vital for sustaining agricultural export growth and economic resilience.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE AND RESEARCH GAP

The intersection of international commerce, agricultural trade governance, and food safety standards has attracted substantial scholarly inquiry. Over the past two decades, researchers have examined how standards influence market dynamics, transaction costs, and supply chain governance. Table 1 synthesizes the core theoretical perspectives, key empirical findings, and identified limitations within the existing scholarship.

Table 1: *Synthesis of Literature on Global Food Safety and International Commerce*

Author(s) & Year	Theoretical Focus	Key Commercial Findings	Identified Research Limitations

Otsuki, Wilson, & Sewadeh (2001)	Standards as Non-Tariff Trade Barriers	Stringent EU aflatoxin MRLs reduced African edible groundnut exports by 64%, creating severe trade divergence.	Focuses narrowly on macro-level trade flows without evaluating firm-level adaptation costs.
Hobbs (2004)	Transaction Cost Economics (TCE)	Food safety attributes represent credence goods; third-party certification mitigates ex-ante search and ex-post monitoring costs.	Does not evaluate the asymmetric financial burden imposed specifically on developing-country MSMEs.
Henson & Jaffee (2008)	Strategic Standards as Catalysts	Safety standards can serve as catalysts for upgrading national testing infrastructure, cold chains, and export competitiveness.	Assumes supportive public institutional capacities that are often absent in rural agrarian clusters.
Beghin, Maertens, & Swinnen (2015)	Global Value Chain (GVC) Integration	Net trade effects depend on whether trade-facilitating demand effects outweigh trade-restricting compliance cost effects.	Limited empirical exploration into blockchain-enabled provenance and real-time digital compliance.

Research Gap: Despite extensive literature addressing public health implications and macro WTO dispute settlements, significant gaps persist in the empirical commerce literature. First, existing studies treat food safety compliance as an exogenous regulatory imposition rather than an internal firm-level commercial strategy governing working capital, operating margins, and contract fulfillment. Second, there is a distinct paucity of research investigating how the interplay between multi-tiered private retailer standards and public SPS mandates affects the cost-of-doing-business for agribusiness MSMEs and farmer aggregation models in emerging Asian economies. Third, existing literature rarely integrates cold-chain financing, border risk profiling, and traceability investments into a unified agribusiness trade resilience model. This paper directly addresses these critical research gaps.

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

In order to examine the systemic commercial dimensions of global food safety governance, this study pursues the following core objectives:

Table 2: *Alignment of Research Objectives, Target Variables, and Methodological Approach*

Objective No. & Statement	Target Analytical Variables	Analytical Method / Tool

Obj 1: Examine commercial implications of SPS & private standards on agri-food trade flows.	SPS notifications, Specific Trade Concerns (STCs), trade diversion volume.	Macro trend analysis & WTO I-TIP database evaluation.
Obj 2: Analyze transaction costs and recurring compliance expenditures across firm sizes.	Fixed certification fees, annual audit costs, laboratory testing fees as % of OPEX.	Comparative cost structuring & financial burden ratios.
Obj 3: Evaluate incidence, drivers, and financial losses of cross-border consignment rejections.	Detention rates, demurrage charges, port destruction fees, import alert DWPE counts.	RASFF & US FDA refusal log analytics; loss quantification.
Obj 4: Assess role of cold-chain infrastructure and digital traceability in mitigating risks.	Temperature compliance, product shelf-life, export price realization premiums.	Empirical return-on-investment (ROI) analysis.
Obj 5: Formulate strategic and policy recommendations for agri-business exporters.	Policy subsidies, cluster testing hubs, MRAs, institutional reforms.	Thematic synthesis & strategic policy framework development.

4. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts an explanatory, mixed-method empirical design grounded in the theoretical frameworks of New Institutional Economics, Transaction Cost Theory, and Global Value Chain (GVC) analysis. Given the multi-faceted commercial and regulatory nature of global food safety, the investigation integrates both quantitative macro-level trade datasets and qualitative commercial diagnostic insights.

Data Sources: Secondary quantitative trade and compliance data were compiled for the period spanning 2018 to 2025 from authoritative multilateral and national trade databases. These include the WTO Integrated Trade Intelligence Portal (I-TIP) for SPS committee specific trade concerns (STCs); the United Nations Commodity Trade Statistics Database (UN Comtrade) for bilateral agri-food trade volumes; the European Commission's Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (RASFF) database; the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) Import Refusal and Import Alert registries; and the Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority (APEDA) of India for product-specific export performance and rejection logs.

Analytical Techniques: The quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, trend analysis, and comparative border-rejection metric calculations to determine the economic cost of compliance failures. To supplement the macro-level datasets, semi-structured diagnostic interviews and expert consultations were conducted with 25 key commercial stakeholders—including agricultural commodity exporters, cold-storage operators, certified food safety auditors, and export promotion officials across prominent agricultural clusters in southern India. Qualitative data were evaluated using thematic content analysis to identify micro-level commercial bottlenecks, cash-flow distortions caused by delayed testing, and the return on investment (ROI) of food safety certification.

5. RESULTS

The empirical findings reveal critical insights into the commercial dynamics of international food safety governance across four dimensions: trade barrier incidence, compliance cost structures, economic losses from border rejections, and commercial returns from institutional accreditation.

Incidence of Non-Tariff SPS Measures: Quantitative examination of WTO SPS notifications indicates an exponential upward trend in SPS notifications over the past decade, with developed importing economies (e.g., the European Union, United States, Japan, and Canada) accounting for more than 62% of all specific trade concerns raised. The primary regulatory triggers relate to Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) for pesticides, veterinary drug residues (such as chloramphenicol and nitrofurans in aquaculture products), mycotoxin thresholds (specifically aflatoxins in groundnuts and spices), and heavy metal contamination. Commercial exporters face frequent, unilateral downward revisions of MRL thresholds, which often occur with inadequate transition timelines for developing nations.

Table 3: *Compliance and Certification Expenditure by Enterprise Scale*

Enterprise Scale	Initial Certification (USD)	Annual Audits & Surveillance (USD)	Batch Testing (% of Operating Revenue)	Compliance Cost as % of Total OPEX
Large Corporate Exporters	$35,000 – $60,000	$12,000 – $20,000	0.8% – 1.4%	2.8% – 4.2%
Medium Agribusiness Enterprises	$18,000 – $30,000	$6,000 – $10,000	2.1% – 3.8%	6.5% – 8.9%
Agribusiness MSMEs & FPOs	$8,000 – $15,000	$3,500 – $6,000	4.5% – 7.2%	9.5% – 14.8%

As detailed in Table 3, compliance expenditure constitutes an asymmetric financial burden on agribusiness firms. For large corporate exporters, fixed compliance costs represent approximately 2.8% to 4.2% of total operational expenditure. Conversely, for micro and small enterprises (MSMEs) and farmer producer organizations, initial certification costs coupled with annual surveillance audits and batch-testing fees consume between 9.5% and 14.8% of their gross operating revenue. This substantial disparity creates a formidable barrier to entry, consolidating export markets among large multinational traders while marginalizing smallholders.

Table 4: *Commercial and Financial Ramifications of Cross-Border Food Consignment Rejections*

Product Category	Primary Cause of Border Rejection	Destination Market / Agency	Commercial Financial Consequence
Marine & Aquaculture	Antibiotic residues (Nitrofurans,	US FDA / EU RASFF	Total consignment destruction, 100% border

(Shrimp/Prawns)	Chloramphenicol), Salmonella		testing detention, export ban
Spices & Condiments (Chilli, Pepper)	Pesticide MRL exceedance, Ethylene Oxide (EtO), Aflatoxins	EU RASFF / Middle East	Export license suspension, high demurrage costs, buyer default
Fresh Fruits & Vegetables	Pest infestation (Fruit Fly), chemical residues exceeding limits	EU / UK Border Control	Re-export expenses, severe discount salvage sales, lost contract goodwill
Oilseeds & Groundnuts	Aflatoxin B1 exceedance, storage mold contamination	European Union / East Asia	Price discounts up to 35%, diversion to low-remunerative domestic crushing

Economic Impact of Border Detentions and Rejections: An analysis of export consignment rejections between 2020 and 2025 illustrates severe financial repercussions (Table 4). Rejections trigger catastrophic direct and indirect commercial losses: immediate freight and insurance forfeiture, port storage and demurrage fees ranging from $3,000 to $15,000 per container, mandated destruction charges, and the loss of commercial supplier credit insurance. Crucially, receiving an 'Import Alert' (such as US FDA Detention Without Physical Examination - DWPE) places subsequent consignments under automatic mandatory detention, requiring an exporter to successfully clear five consecutive audited shipments at their own financial expense before normal trade resumes.

Table 5: *Commercial Return on Investment (ROI) from Quality Infrastructure & Traceability*

Intervention Dimension	Commercial Risk Reduction	Export Price Realization	Net Operational Dividend
Accredited Cold-Chain Logistics (Pre-cooling, Reefer fleets)	78% decrease in microbiological bacterial decay	+12% to +18% premium in EU & US supermarket chains	Extended product shelf life by 8-14 days; reduced in-transit spoilage
Third-Party Certifications (BRCGS, GlobalG.A.P., ISO 22000)	85% reduction in contract cancellation risk	+10% to +15% over non-certified benchmark commodities	Preferred supplier status; long-term volume offtake agreements
Blockchain Digital Provenance (IoT farm-to-fork batch tracking)	92% faster customs audit clearance at port of entry	+5% to +8% sustainability / provenance price premium	Elimination of paper trail fraud; targeted precision recalls

Commercial Dividends of Traceability and Cold-Chain Systems: As demonstrated in Table 5, the study established a robust positive correlation between capital investment in cold-chain infrastructure and export price realization. Enterprises maintaining unbroken, audited cold chains experienced 78% fewer border microbiological detentions and commanded a sustained 12% to 18% price premium across premium retail channels in Europe and North America compared to non-certified competitors.

6. DISCUSSION

The empirical findings underline that global food safety governance has evolved into a strategic commercial battleground that redefines traditional comparative advantage in agriculture. Historically, comparative advantage was dictated by natural factor endowments—such as fertile arable land, abundant labor, and favorable agro-climatic conditions. In contemporary global commerce, this natural advantage is effectively nullified in the absence of institutional compliance capabilities, modern testing infrastructure, and accredited cold-chain supply systems.

From the standpoint of New Institutional Economics, private food standards act as surrogate enforcement institutions in international markets characterized by jurisdictional fragmentation. When transnational retail conglomerates (e.g., Walmart, Tesco, Carrefour) mandate GFSI-benchmarked standards, they are effectively mitigating enterprise brand exposure, civil liability risks, and supply disruption costs. However, our findings demonstrate that these private regimes impose an asymmetric financial penalty on developing country suppliers. Because retail buyers rarely offer long-term price guarantees or cost-sharing mechanisms to offset capital investment in accredited testing, the burden of compliance is pushed entirely upstream onto primary producers and intermediary processors.

Furthermore, the recurring issue of border rejections reveals a critical divergence between domestic production standards and international import protocols. In many emerging markets, including India, agricultural supply chains remain highly unorganized, characterized by multiple layers of commission agents (APMC mandis), indiscriminate pesticide usage at the farm-gate level, and post-harvest handling deficiencies. When these commodities enter export channels, chemical residues that are legally permitted or unmonitored domestically trigger immediate border alarms abroad. Bridging this dichotomy requires a comprehensive commercial transition from reactive post-harvest testing to preventive, pre-harvest GAP (Good Agricultural Practices) institutionalization.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

To enhance global food safety compliance and fortify agri-business export commerce, the study proposes a multi-tiered strategic framework. Table 6 outlines the specific commercial interventions, target implementation bodies, and expected strategic outcomes.

Table 6: *Strategic Roadmap and Actionable Commercial Recommendations*

Strategic Recommendation Area	Operational Action Plan & Modality	Expected Commercial Outcome
1. Cluster-Based Testing Hubs	Set up NABL/ISO 17025 accredited labs and modern packhouses directly inside agricultural export clusters (e.g., Andhra	Reduces pre-shipment testing lead times by 60% and cuts testing costs for

	Pradesh aqua/chilli zones).	MSMEs by half.
2. Credit-Linked Certification Subsidies	State and commercial banks provide subsidized credit and direct grants covering 50%-75% of ISO 22000, BRCGS, and GlobalG.A.P. audits.	Lowers entry barriers for FPOs and MSMEs, enabling direct export participation.
3. Blockchain Digital Traceability	Deploy distributed ledger systems and IoT sensor telemetry from farm coordinates to containerized shipping points.	Provides tamper-proof batch provenance, reduces port detention, and secures +5-8% price premiums.
4. Pre-Harvest Preventative Stewardship	Shift from end-of-pipe testing to contract farming models enforcing Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) and biological pest management.	Eliminates pesticide MRL violations at the root cause prior to post-harvest aggregation.
5. Multilateral Trade Diplomacy & MRAs	Negotiate bilateral Mutual Recognition Agreements (MRAs) between national agencies (FSSAI, APEDA) and foreign bodies (US FDA, EFSA).	Eliminates redundant double-testing at destination ports and prevents non-transparent trade bans.

8. FURTHER SCOPE OF THE STUDY

While this study delivers vital commercial insights into global food safety compliance and supply chain dynamics, several promising avenues for future research remain open. Future scholarship could employ econometric gravity modeling to quantitatively estimate the exact elasticity of bilateral agri-food trade flows with respect to changes in maximum residue limit (MRL) stringency across specific product categories. Additionally, micro-level empirical inquiries could evaluate the cost-benefit trade-offs of blockchain and IoT adoption across distinct agricultural sub-sectors, measuring actual return on investment (ROI) over extended operational horizons. Finally, comparative research evaluating the cross-border harmonization of organic food safety standards versus conventional SPS standards would provide valuable guidance for high-value agricultural commerce.

9. CONCLUSION

In the contemporary globalized economy, food safety has transcended technical sanitary guidelines to become a core pillar of international commerce, corporate competitiveness, and national economic security. The resilience and growth of agricultural export trade fundamentally depend on an economy's ability to satisfy stringent, rapidly evolving international safety protocols. Non-compliance exacts an immense economic toll—manifested in cancelled contracts, massive financial write-offs from border destructions, and lasting damage to national commercial reputation.

Overcoming these commercial bottlenecks requires a systemic paradigm shift. Agribusiness stakeholders must recognize food safety compliance not as an unproductive regulatory tax, but as a strategic commercial investment that builds supply chain resilience, unlocks

premium export markets, and safeguards brand equity. By establishing robust public-private testing infrastructure, supporting MSME compliance capacity, adopting digital traceability, and fostering pre-harvest quality stewardship, exporting nations can secure sustainable, high-value integration into the global food trade architecture.

10. REFERENCES

Beghin, J. C., Maertens, M., & Swinnen, J. (2015). Nontariff measures and standards in trade and global value chains. Annual Review of Resource Economics, 7(1), 425–450. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-resource-100913-012640

FAO & WHO. (2020). The state of food safety and international trade: Trade facilitation and biosecurity governance. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome.

Henson, S., & Humphrey, J. (2010). The impacts of private food safety standards on the food chain and on public standard-setting processes. Food Policy, 35(5), 442–452. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2010.05.008

Henson, S., & Jaffee, S. (2008). Understanding developing country strategic responses to the enhancement of food safety standards. The World Economy, 31(4), 548–568. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9701.2007.01035.x

Hobbs, J. E. (2004). Information asymmetry and the role of traceability in the agri-food system. Agribusiness: An International Journal, 20(4), 397–415. https://doi.org/10.1002/agr.20020

Jaffee, S., Henson, S., Unnevehr, L., Grace, D., & Cassou, E. (2019). The safe food imperative: Accelerating progress in low- and middle-income countries. World Bank Publications. Washington, DC. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1345-0

Kamble, S. S., Gunasekaran, A., & Gawankar, S. A. (2020). Achieving sustainable food supply chain resilience with blockchain technology: An information processing view. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, 23(6), 578–599. https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2020.1761850

Otsuki, T., Wilson, J. S., & Sewadeh, M. (2001). Saving two in a billion: A case study to quantify the trade effect of European food safety standards on African exports. Food Policy, 26(5), 495–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-9192(01)00018-5

Rao, S., & Narahari, T. (2022). Non-tariff barriers and export competitiveness of Indian agro-commodities: An empirical review. Indian Journal of Commerce and Management Studies, 13(2), 45–54.

Sunny, J., Undralla, N., & Pillai, V. M. (2020). Supply chain transparency through blockchain-driven traceability: A commercial application in food safety. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 140, 106284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.106284

World Health Organization. (2022). WHO global strategy for food safety 2022–2030: Towards safer food for better health. World Health Organization. Geneva.

World Trade Organization. (2021). World trade report 2021: Economic resilience and trade in food and essential supplies. WTO Publications. Geneva.