International Journal of Engineering Research and Science & Technology

www.ijerst.org

ISSN : 2319-5991

Vol. 22 No. 1 (2026)



ijerst.editor@gmail.com

editor@ijerst.com

International Journal of Engineering Research and Science & Technology
ISSN 2319-5991 www.ijerst.com
Vol. 22, No. 1, 2026
© 2026 IJERST. All Rights Reserved
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal

Research

The Dilemma in Mind Between the Ideal and the Real: A Study of Human Conflict

Dr. Samir Kumar Naskar
Assistant Professor, Department of Education
Dr.Kanailal Bhattacharyya College, Howrah, West Bengal, India
E-mail:naskarsamirkumar07@gmail.com

Abstract

The human mind often finds itself entangled in a conflict between the ideal—what ought to be—and the real—what is. This internal dilemma is central to human psychology, moral philosophy, and social behavior. It influences personal decision-making, emotional health, and broader societal norms. This paper explores the tension between ideals and reality from philosophical, psychological, and sociocultural perspectives. It argues that the friction between these two domains can be both a source of creativity and personal growth, as well as frustration and psychological distress. By analyzing historical thought, modern cognitive science, and contemporary socio-cultural dynamics, the paper proposes strategies for navigating this mental dichotomy toward more integrated and adaptive outcomes.

Keywords: Ideal, reality, internal conflict, cognitive dissonance, human psychology, personal growth

1. Introduction

Human beings are dreamers and doers—creatures capable of envisioning perfection while simultaneously operating in a world of imperfection. This creates an inherent dilemma: the ideal versus the real. Ideals represent aspirational visions such as justice, truth, beauty, and moral integrity. Reality, on the other hand, is constrained by limitations—social, physical, emotional, and structural.

The dissonance between these two spheres causes tension in the mind. Should one pursue idealism at the cost of practical limitations? Or should one accept reality and risk becoming cynical or disillusioned? This mental conflict permeates various domains of life—ethics, relationships, career, politics, and even spirituality.[1]

Human beings live in a constant tension between the ideal and the real. Ideals such as justice, truth, and beauty represent aspirational visions that inspire progress and give life meaning. They embody perfection, a standard against which reality is measured. Reality, however, is bound by limitations—social structures, physical constraints, emotional vulnerabilities, and systemic imperfections. This duality creates a paradox: we strive for ideals while navigating a world that often falls short of them.

This dissonance generates inner conflict, as individuals must decide whether to pursue idealism despite practical obstacles or to accept reality at the risk of cynicism. For some, chasing ideals provides purpose and resilience, while for others, the gap between vision and reality can lead to frustration or disillusionment. The struggle is not abstract—it manifests in everyday decisions, from ethical dilemmas to career choices, where one must balance lofty aspirations with pragmatic compromises.[2]

In relationships, politics, and spirituality, this conflict is especially pronounced. People may dream of perfect love, flawless governance, or ultimate enlightenment, yet reality often delivers imperfection, compromise, and gradual progress. The challenge lies in

reconciling these two spheres—acknowledging reality without abandoning ideals, and holding onto ideals without losing sight of practical limitations. This balance is what sustains hope while ensuring functionality in daily life.

Ultimately, the interplay between ideals and reality is what drives human growth. Ideals push societies forward, inspiring reform and innovation, while reality grounds us, reminding us of the constraints we must navigate. The tension itself is productive: it keeps humanity striving, questioning, and evolving. In this way, the dilemma of the ideal versus the real is not a weakness but a defining feature of human existence, shaping both our dreams and our actions[3].

2. Philosophical Foundations of the Ideal-Real Divide

The tension between ideal and real is as old as philosophical inquiry itself.

2.1 Plato and the Realm of Forms

Plato's theory of Forms presents a radical distinction between the world of appearances and the world of true reality. He argued that what we perceive through our senses—the physical world—is merely a shadow or imperfect copy of eternal, unchanging ideals. These ideals, or "Forms," include concepts such as justice, beauty, equality, and goodness, which exist independently of the material world. For Plato, the Forms are more real than the objects we see, because they embody perfection and universality, whereas the physical world is transient and flawed.[4]

This perspective places the ideal above the material, suggesting that true knowledge comes not from sensory experience but from intellectual insight into the Forms. For example, while we may encounter many different acts of justice in the world, each imperfect in its own way, the Form of Justice itself is perfect and eternal. Philosophical reasoning, therefore, becomes the path to understanding reality, as it allows the mind to transcend appearances and grasp the essence of truth. Plato's allegory of the cave illustrates this vividly: most people live chained to shadows, mistaking them for reality, while philosophers strive to see the light of the Forms.[5]

The influence of Plato's theory of Forms extends far beyond ancient philosophy. It has shaped metaphysical thought, religious doctrines, and even modern debates about universals and values. By asserting that ideals are more real than material existence, Plato provided a framework for understanding morality, aesthetics, and knowledge as pursuits of higher truths rather than mere reflections of worldly phenomena. His vision continues to inspire philosophical inquiry and spiritual traditions, reminding us that human beings are not only bound to the physical but also oriented toward transcendent ideals.[6]

2.2 Aristotle's Pragmatism

In contrast, Aristotle grounded his philosophy more firmly in empirical observation. While he acknowledged ideals, he emphasized achieving practical virtue through habituation and moderation, proposing the "Golden Mean" as a balance between extremes.

Aristotle's philosophy stands in contrast to Plato's idealism by grounding itself in empirical observation and practical living. While he acknowledged the existence of ideals, Aristotle emphasized that human flourishing—what he called *eudaimonia*—is achieved through cultivating virtue in everyday life. Rather than striving for abstract perfection, he argued that virtue is developed through habituation, meaning consistent practice of good actions until they become part of one's character. This pragmatic approach made his philosophy more accessible and applicable to real-world situations.[7]

Central to Aristotle's ethics is the concept of the "Golden Mean," which proposes that virtue lies between extremes. For instance, courage is the balance between recklessness and cowardice, while generosity is the balance between wastefulness and stinginess. By encouraging moderation, Aristotle provided a framework for individuals to navigate complex moral choices without falling into destructive excesses or deficiencies. His focus was not on

rigid rules but on cultivating judgment and balance, making virtue a dynamic practice rather than a fixed ideal.[8]

Aristotle's pragmatism continues to influence modern thought because it bridges ideals with lived reality. His emphasis on observation, moderation, and habituation resonates in fields ranging from ethics and politics to psychology and education. By grounding philosophy in human experience, Aristotle offered a path to moral integrity that is both aspirational and achievable, reminding us that true virtue is not found in abstract perfection but in the balanced pursuit of good within the constraints of everyday life.[9]

2.3 Kant's Moral Idealism

Immanuel Kant presented a moral framework rooted in ideals. He proposed the "categorical imperative"—a principle that demands actions be guided by universal moral laws. However, Kant also recognized that people often fail to live up to these ideals, creating moral tension within the individual.[10]

Immanuel Kant's moral philosophy is rooted in the pursuit of ideals, particularly through his principle of the *categorical imperative*. This framework demands that actions be guided by universal moral laws—rules that one would will to be applied universally, regardless of circumstance. For Kant, morality is not about personal preference or situational convenience but about adherence to duty and principles that transcend individual desires. In this sense, he elevates ideals above practical considerations, insisting that true morality must be unconditional and universally valid.

At the same time, Kant recognized the difficulty of living up to these ideals. Human beings, bound by emotions, weaknesses, and social pressures, often fail to act in accordance with universal moral laws. This creates a moral tension within the individual: the awareness of what one *ought* to do versus the reality of what one *actually* does. Kant's philosophy acknowledges this gap, emphasizing that moral worth lies not in perfection but in the sincere effort to act out of duty, even when it conflicts with personal inclinations.

This tension between ideals and human limitations makes Kant's framework both demanding and deeply human. It challenges individuals to strive toward moral universality while recognizing the inevitability of imperfection. In doing so, Kant provides a vision of ethics that is aspirational yet grounded in the realities of human struggle, reminding us that morality is not merely about achieving flawless conduct but about continually striving to align our actions with the highest principles of justice and integrity.

3. Psychological Dimensions of the Ideal-Real Conflict

3.1 Cognitive Dissonance

The term "cognitive dissonance," coined by Leon Festinger (1957), describes the discomfort experienced when beliefs and actions are inconsistent. For instance, an individual who values honesty but lies to protect someone experiences internal conflict. This tension often compels behavioral change or mental rationalization to restore balance.

Cognitive dissonance, a concept introduced by Leon Festinger in 1957, refers to the psychological discomfort experienced when a person's beliefs, values, or attitudes conflict with their actions or decisions. For example, someone who values honesty but tells a lie to protect another person experiences a clash between their moral principle and behavior. This internal tension is unsettling because it challenges the individual's sense of consistency and integrity, which humans naturally strive to maintain.

To reduce this discomfort, people often engage in rationalization or behavioral change. Rationalization might involve justifying the lie by saying it was necessary to avoid harm, thereby aligning the action with a broader moral principle. Alternatively, the individual may change their behavior in the future, committing to greater honesty to restore internal harmony. In both cases, the drive is to reestablish balance between belief and action,

since prolonged dissonance can lead to stress, guilt, or confusion.

Cognitive dissonance has wide-ranging implications across everyday life. It influences decision-making, ethical choices, consumer behavior, and even political attitudes. For instance, a person who buys an expensive product may later justify the purchase by exaggerating its benefits to reduce the discomfort of spending too much. Similarly, in moral contexts, individuals may adjust their beliefs or reinterpret situations to align with their actions. Ultimately, cognitive dissonance highlights the human need for psychological consistency and explains why people often reshape their thoughts or behaviors to preserve a sense of inner equilibrium.

3.2 The Ideal Self vs. The Real Self

Carl Rogers, a humanistic psychologist, introduced the concept of the "ideal self" versus the "real self." A significant gap between the two leads to incongruence, resulting in anxiety, low self-esteem, and dissatisfaction. Bridging this gap is essential for achieving psychological well-being.

Carl Rogers, a leading figure in humanistic psychology, introduced the distinction between the "ideal self" and the "real self." The ideal self represents who a person wishes to be—their aspirations, values, and goals—while the real self reflects their actual experiences, behaviors, and current state of being. When these two selves are closely aligned, individuals experience congruence, which fosters confidence, authenticity, and psychological well-being. However, when there is a significant gap between them, incongruence arises, leading to inner conflict and dissatisfaction.

This incongruence often manifests as anxiety, low self-esteem, or feelings of inadequacy. For example, someone who sees their ideal self as highly successful but perceives their real self as struggling may feel constant frustration and disappointment. Rogers emphasized that such gaps are not inherently negative but become problematic when they are too wide, preventing individuals from accepting themselves or growing toward their goals. The tension between the ideal and real self highlights the importance of self-awareness and acceptance in maintaining mental health.

Bridging this gap requires fostering conditions that promote self-growth and authenticity. Rogers believed that unconditional positive regard—acceptance and support without judgment—helps individuals move closer to their ideal self while embracing their real self. Through personal development, supportive relationships, and self-compassion, people can reduce incongruence and achieve greater harmony between who they are and who they aspire to be. This alignment is central to psychological well-being, allowing individuals to live more authentically and with a deeper sense of fulfillment.

3.3 Defense Mechanisms and Denial

To cope with the discomfort of not living up to ideals, people often resort to defense mechanisms such as denial, rationalization, or projection. These are temporary solutions and may prevent long-term personal growth if overused.

Defense mechanisms are psychological strategies people unconsciously use to protect themselves from the discomfort of not living up to their ideals. When faced with the gap between who they want to be and who they actually are, individuals may employ denial, rationalization, or projection to reduce anxiety. For example, denial allows a person to refuse acknowledging a painful truth, while rationalization helps them justify behavior that contradicts their values. Projection, on the other hand, shifts one's own shortcomings onto others, deflecting responsibility.

These mechanisms serve as temporary coping tools, offering short-term relief from internal conflict. They can help individuals manage stress and maintain a sense of stability when confronted with difficult realities. However, overreliance on them can distort perception and prevent genuine self-awareness. For instance, someone who constantly rationalizes failures may avoid confronting the habits or

choices that contribute to those failures, thereby hindering growth.

In the long run, excessive use of defense mechanisms can block personal development and perpetuate dissatisfaction. True psychological well-being requires facing reality and working toward bridging the gap between ideals and actions. While defense mechanisms may soften the immediate discomfort, lasting growth comes from self-reflection, acceptance, and constructive change. By recognizing these patterns, individuals can move beyond temporary avoidance and cultivate resilience, authenticity, and healthier ways of coping with life's imperfections.

4. Sociocultural Pressures and the Ideal-Real Divide

Modern society often intensifies the conflict between ideals and reality. The media, education, and cultural institutions establish ideals—of success, beauty, morality, and behavior—that are often unattainable or unrealistic.

Modern society often amplifies the divide between the ideal self and the real self by imposing external standards that are difficult, if not impossible, to achieve. Media, education, and cultural institutions project ideals of success, beauty, morality, and behavior that set unrealistic benchmarks. For instance, advertisements and social media often portray flawless lifestyles or appearances, creating pressure to conform to images that are carefully curated rather than authentic. These ideals, while aspirational, can distort self-perception and intensify feelings of inadequacy.

This constant exposure to unattainable ideals fosters incongruence between how individuals see themselves and how they believe they *should* be. The gap between the real self and the socially constructed ideal self can lead to anxiety, low self-esteem, and dissatisfaction. People may feel compelled to chase these external standards, often sacrificing authenticity and personal values in the process. The result is a cycle of striving and disappointment, where individuals measure their worth against unrealistic cultural expectations rather than their own intrinsic goals.

At the same time, sociocultural pressures can also serve as motivators, pushing individuals to improve or aspire toward higher standards. The challenge lies in discerning which ideals are constructive and which are harmful. Achieving psychological well-being requires resisting unrealistic societal demands and cultivating a balance between personal authenticity and external influences. By critically engaging with cultural ideals, individuals can bridge the divide between the real and ideal self in healthier ways, fostering resilience and self-acceptance while still striving for meaningful growth.

4.1 Social Media and the Idealized Self

Social media platforms offer curated glimpses of people's lives, often portraying idealistic versions that do not reflect their actual circumstances. This distortion fuels dissatisfaction and self-comparison, deepening the divide between one's real self and perceived ideal.

Social media platforms often present highly curated versions of people's lives, showcasing highlights rather than everyday realities. These portrayals create an "idealized self" that may not reflect actual circumstances, struggles, or imperfections. As users consume these polished images and stories, they are led to believe that others live more successful, beautiful, or fulfilling lives, which can distort perceptions of what is normal or achievable.

This distortion fuels dissatisfaction and self-comparison. When individuals measure their real selves against the idealized versions they see online, they may feel inadequate or inferior. The gap between the real self and the perceived ideal widens, leading to anxiety, low self-esteem, and even depression. Social media thus becomes a powerful amplifier of the ideal-real divide, intensifying the psychological tension that already exists between aspirations and lived reality.

At the same time, social media can also serve as a platform for self-expression and connection when used authentically. The challenge lies in discerning between curated ideals and genuine experiences. By practicing mindful engagement—recognizing that online personas are often selective and incomplete—individuals can reduce harmful comparisons and foster healthier self-perceptions. Ultimately, bridging the divide requires embracing authenticity and resisting the pressure to conform to unrealistic digital ideals.

4.2 Cultural Norms and Conformity

Cultural expectations can impose unrealistic ideals related to gender roles, family structure, or professional success. When individuals fail to conform, they may feel alienated or inadequate, even when their choices align better with their true needs and values.

Cultural norms often establish rigid expectations about how individuals should live, shaping ideals around gender roles, family structures, and professional success. These norms can be deeply ingrained, influencing behavior from childhood and creating a sense of obligation to conform. For example, traditional expectations may dictate that men prioritize career achievement while women focus on caregiving, or that success is measured by wealth and social status. Such ideals, while culturally reinforced, may not align with an individual's authentic desires or values.

When people fail to conform to these expectations, they often experience feelings of alienation or inadequacy. A person who chooses an unconventional career path, rejects traditional family roles, or prioritizes personal fulfillment over societal definitions of success may face criticism or exclusion. This disconnect between cultural ideals and personal reality can lead to internal conflict, as individuals struggle to reconcile their true needs with external pressures. The result is often dissatisfaction, anxiety, or diminished self-worth.

At the same time, cultural norms can provide structure and shared meaning, but they must be critically examined to avoid becoming oppressive. Achieving psychological well-being requires individuals to balance respect for cultural traditions with authenticity in their personal choices. By challenging unrealistic ideals and embracing diversity in lifestyles and values, societies can reduce the ideal-real divide and foster environments where people feel empowered to live in alignment with their true selves rather than imposed expectations.

5. The Ideal-Real Dilemma in Personal Life

5.1 Moral Decisions

Moral dilemmas often exemplify this conflict. Consider a whistleblowerwho exposes corruption in an organization. The ideal is justice and truth, but the reality may include job loss, ostracism, or even legal consequences. The decision becomes a negotiation between ethical ideals and pragmatic considerations.

Moral dilemmas vividly illustrate the tension between ideals and reality. A whistleblower, for instance, may feel compelled to expose corruption in the name of justice and truth. This act represents the pursuit of an ethical ideal—standing for integrity and fairness even when others remain silent. Such decisions embody the human drive to align actions with higher principles, often at great personal risk.

Yet, the reality of whistleblowing is fraught with consequences. The individual may face job loss, ostracism from colleagues, damaged reputation, or even legal repercussions. These pragmatic considerations weigh heavily against the ideal, creating a profound internal conflict. The whistleblower must weigh the moral imperative of truth against the tangible costs of defying powerful structures, making the decision both ethically noble and personally perilous.

Ultimately, moral decisions like these become negotiations between ideals and practical realities. They highlight the complexity of ethical life, where pursuing justice may demand sacrifice, and accepting reality may mean compromising values. This tension

underscores the human condition: striving for ideals while navigating imperfect circumstances. It is within this negotiation that moral courage is tested, and the balance between principle and pragmatism is revealed.

5.2 Career Choices

Many individuals face internal struggles when their career ideals clash with financial necessities. An artist may dream of creative expression but be forced into a corporate job for survival. The inability to reconcile the ideal with the real can lead to existential dissatisfaction.

Career choices often highlight the tension between ideals and reality. Many individuals dream of pursuing careers that align with their passions, values, or creative aspirations. For example, an artist may long for a life of self-expression and innovation, seeing their ideal career as one that fulfills their deepest sense of purpose. These ideals provide motivation and direction, shaping how people envision success and personal fulfillment.

However, the reality of financial necessities, social expectations, and economic pressures often forces individuals to compromise. The same artist may feel compelled to take a corporate job to ensure stability, pay bills, or support a family. While this choice meets practical needs, it can create a sense of incongruence between the ideal self and the real self. Over time, this gap may lead to existential dissatisfaction, frustration, or even burnout, as the individual struggles to reconcile their dreams with the demands of survival.

Navigating this conflict requires balance and creativity. Some people find ways to integrate their ideals into their practical careers, while others pursue their passions alongside more stable work. Achieving psychological well-being in career choices often depends on reducing the gap between the ideal and the real—whether by redefining success, finding meaning in compromise, or gradually moving closer to one's aspirations. In this way, career struggles become not just about survival but about the ongoing negotiation between authenticity and practicality.

5.3 Romantic Relationships

Love is often idealized in literature and media. In reality, relationships require compromise, patience, and resilience. The disillusionment that follows the inevitable falling away of idealized love can lead to relational instability if not understood and managed.

Romantic relationships often begin with idealized notions of love, shaped by literature, media, and cultural narratives. These portrayals emphasize passion, perfection, and effortless harmony, creating expectations that real relationships rarely meet. The idealized vision of love can inspire hope and connection, but it also sets unrealistic standards that partners may struggle to fulfill once the initial excitement fades.

In reality, relationships require compromise, patience, and resilience. Everyday challenges such as differences in values, communication styles, or life goals demand effort and adaptability. When the idealized image of love inevitably falls away, couples may feel disillusioned, questioning the strength of their bond. Without understanding that love involves both joy and struggle, this disillusionment can lead to instability, conflict, or even separation.

However, recognizing the gap between idealized love and real love can strengthen relationships rather than weaken them. By embracing imperfection and focusing on growth, couples can build deeper trust and intimacy. Love becomes less about flawless romance and more about shared resilience, mutual respect, and authentic connection. In this way, moving beyond the ideal allows relationships to flourish in reality, creating bonds that are more enduring and meaningful.

6. Constructive Integration: Bridging the Ideal and Real

While the ideal-real divide can cause distress, it can also serve as a catalyst for growth and transformation.

6.1 Acceptance and Aspiration

True maturity lies in accepting reality while still aspiring toward improvement. The Japanese philosophy of *wabi-sabi* embraces imperfection while appreciating beauty and simplicity. Accepting the real does not mean surrendering the ideal; it means working toward ideals with compassion and realism.

True maturity involves balancing acceptance of reality with the aspiration for growth. Carl Rogers emphasized congruence between the real and ideal self, and philosophies like the Japanese concept of *wabi-sabi* echo this wisdom. *Wabi-sabi* teaches that imperfection and transience are not flaws but sources of beauty, encouraging people to embrace simplicity and authenticity. This perspective allows individuals to appreciate life as it is, rather than constantly chasing unattainable perfection.

Acceptance, however, does not mean resignation. It is about acknowledging limitations while still striving toward improvement. By grounding oneself in reality, one avoids the frustration of impossible ideals, yet by holding onto aspirations, one maintains motivation and hope. This balance prevents cynicism on one hand and disillusionment on the other, fostering resilience and steady progress.

Ultimately, the harmony of acceptance and aspiration nurtures psychological well-being. It allows individuals to live authentically, appreciating the present while working compassionately toward the future. Ideals remain guiding lights, but they are pursued with realism, patience, and self-compassion. In this way, maturity is not about abandoning dreams but about integrating them into the imperfect yet meaningful fabric of real life.

6.2 Incremental Idealism

Rather than radical idealism that ignores practicality, incremental idealism supports gradual progress. This approach is seen in social reform movements where small changes eventually lead to systemic transformation.

Incremental idealism offers a practical path between rigid idealism and pure pragmatism. Instead of demanding immediate perfection or sweeping change, it emphasizes gradual progress toward ideals. This approach acknowledges that reality is full of limitations—social, political, and personal—and that meaningful transformation often requires patience and persistence. By focusing on small, achievable steps, incremental idealism keeps hope alive while avoiding the frustration of unattainable goals.

Social reform movements provide clear examples of incremental idealism in action. Civil rights, gender equality, and environmental protection have rarely advanced through sudden revolutions alone; rather, they progressed through a series of smaller victories—policy changes, cultural shifts, and grassroots efforts—that accumulated into systemic transformation. Each step may seem modest in isolation, but together they create momentum that reshapes society over time.

This philosophy also applies to personal growth. Whether in career development, relationships, or self-improvement, incremental changes—like building habits, learning skills, or adjusting perspectives—can gradually close the gap between the real self and the ideal self. By embracing incremental idealism, individuals and societies can pursue lofty aspirations without losing touch with reality, ensuring that progress is sustainable, compassionate, and enduring.

6.3 Mindfulness and Present-Centered Awareness

Mindfulness practices help individuals stay grounded in the present moment, reducing anxiety related to unmet ideals or past failures. This awareness fosters clarity and reduces self-judgment, enabling people to make values-aligned decisions within real-world constraints.

Mindfulness and present-centered awareness provide a powerful way to reduce the tension between ideals and reality. By focusing attention on the present moment, individuals can step away from anxieties about unmet goals or regrets about past failures. This grounding helps people see life more clearly, without being overwhelmed by the constant

comparison between who they are and who they think they should be.

Practicing mindfulness also fosters clarity and reduces self-judgment. Instead of criticizing themselves for not living up to ideals, individuals learn to observe thoughts and emotions with acceptance. This shift allows them to respond to challenges with greater calm and perspective, rather than reacting impulsively or harshly. In doing so, mindfulness creates space for self-compassion, which is essential for psychological resilience.

Ultimately, mindfulness empowers people to make values-aligned decisions within real-world constraints. By staying present, they can act authentically and intentionally, rather than being driven by unrealistic expectations or external pressures. This balance between awareness and aspiration helps bridge the ideal-real divide, enabling individuals to pursue growth while appreciating the imperfect beauty of the moment.

7. Case Studies and Real-World Examples

7.1 Gandhi: Practical Idealism

Mahatma Gandhi's concept of "practical idealism" emphasized living one's ideals in daily actions. His non-violent resistance was rooted in an ideal of universal love but implemented through pragmatic tactics adapted to local conditions.

Mahatma Gandhi's philosophy of "practical idealism" sought to bridge the gap between lofty moral principles and everyday action. He believed that ideals such as truth (*satya*) and non-violence (*ahimsa*) were not abstract concepts to be admired from afar, but guiding principles to be lived out in daily life. For Gandhi, the true test of an ideal was its application in practice, and he insisted that individuals embody their values through consistent, disciplined conduct.

His approach was most visible in his strategy of non-violent resistance. Rooted in the ideal of universal love and respect for human dignity, Gandhi's methods were nonetheless pragmatic, tailored to the realities of colonial India. He organized boycotts, marches, and civil disobedience campaigns that were accessible to ordinary people, ensuring that the pursuit of justice was both principled and practical. By adapting tactics to local conditions, he demonstrated that ideals could be powerful tools for social change when grounded in lived experience.

Gandhi's practical idealism continues to resonate because it shows how moral vision can inspire real-world transformation. Rather than dismissing ideals as unattainable or reducing action to mere pragmatism, he fused the two into a philosophy of engaged living. His legacy illustrates that ideals gain strength when practiced, and that meaningful progress arises not from abandoning principles but from embodying them in ways that respond to the challenges of reality.

7.2 Climate Activism

Environmental activists advocate for an ideal of ecological balance while grappling with real-world resistance from governments and industries. Despite setbacks, the movement demonstrates how idealism can drive meaningful change when paired with strategic realism.

Climate activism embodies the struggle between ideals and reality. At its core, environmental activists advocate for the ideal of ecological balance—protecting the planet's ecosystems, reducing carbon emissions, and ensuring sustainability for future generations. This vision is rooted in the belief that humanity has a moral responsibility to live in harmony with nature, preserving resources and biodiversity. The ideal serves as a guiding principle, inspiring movements across the globe.

Yet, activists often face significant resistance from governments, industries, and entrenched economic interests. Policies may be slow to change, corporations may prioritize profit over sustainability, and political leaders may resist reforms that threaten short-term growth. These obstacles highlight the tension between the ideal of ecological balance and the reality of economic and political systems. Despite setbacks, activists continue to push forward, adapting strategies to local contexts and

leveraging science, law, and public awareness to make progress.

The persistence of climate activism demonstrates how idealism can drive meaningful change when paired with strategic realism. Small victories—such as bans on single-use plastics, renewable energy initiatives, or international agreements—accumulate into broader systemic shifts. By combining moral conviction with pragmatic tactics, activists show that even in the face of resistance, ideals can be translated into tangible progress. This blend of vision and practicality keeps the movement alive, proving that the pursuit of ecological balance is both necessary and achievable over time.

8. Educational Implications

Education systems often emphasize ideal behavior (e.g., discipline, achievement) while offering little support for emotional growth or coping with failure. By incorporating emotional intelligence, ethical reasoning, and real-world problem-solving into curricula, educators can prepare students to balance ideals with lived reality.

Education systems often emphasize ideals such as discipline, achievement, and standardized success, but they frequently overlook the importance of emotional growth and resilience. Students are taught to strive for high grades and exemplary behavior, yet little attention is given to coping with failure, managing stress, or developing empathy. This imbalance can leave learners ill-prepared to handle the complexities of real life, where setbacks and emotional challenges are inevitable.

By integrating emotional intelligence into curricula, schools can help students better understand and regulate their feelings, fostering healthier relationships and stronger self-awareness. Ethical reasoning, too, is essential, as it equips learners to navigate moral dilemmas and make decisions that align with both personal values and social responsibility. Real-world problem-solving skills further bridge the gap between academic ideals and practical realities, preparing students to adapt and thrive in diverse contexts.

Ultimately, education that balances ideals with lived reality cultivates well-rounded individuals. When students are encouraged not only to achieve but also to reflect, empathize, and persevere, they gain the tools to handle life's challenges with maturity. Such an approach transforms education from a narrow pursuit of perfection into a holistic process of growth, equipping learners to pursue aspirations while embracing the imperfections of real-world experience.

9. The Role of Literature and Art

Literature and art serve as mirrors and mediators of the ideal-real conflict. Novels like Dostoevsky's *Crime and Punishment* or Camus's *The Stranger* explore existential dilemmas and moral conflicts. Art allows for the symbolic expression of these internal tensions, fostering reflection and empathy.

Literature and art play a crucial role in exploring the tension between ideals and reality. Writers like Dostoevsky in *Crime and Punishment* and Camus in *The Stranger* delve into existential dilemmas, moral conflicts, and the struggles of individuals caught between their aspirations and the harshness of lived experience. These narratives provide readers with a lens to examine the complexities of human nature, showing how ideals often clash with circumstances and how individuals grapple with guilt, meaning, and authenticity.

Art, similarly, serves as a symbolic medium for expressing internal conflicts. Painters, sculptors, and musicians often capture the contradictions of human existence—beauty alongside suffering, hope alongside despair. Through metaphor, abstraction, and symbolism, art allows individuals to externalize and reflect upon the dissonance between the ideal and the real. This creative expression not only communicates personal struggles but also resonates universally, fostering empathy and shared understanding.

Together, literature and art act as mediators of the ideal-real divide. They provide safe spaces for reflection, allowing audiences to confront

uncomfortable truths and explore alternative perspectives. By engaging with these forms, individuals can better understand their own conflicts, cultivate empathy for others, and appreciate the richness of human experience. In this way, literature and art do more than entertain—they illuminate the complexities of life and encourage deeper contemplation of how ideals and reality intertwine.

10. Conclusion

The dilemma between the ideal and the real is a timeless feature of the human condition. On one hand, ideals inspire us to envision justice, beauty, and excellence; on the other, reality reminds us of limitations, imperfections, and constraints. This tension can cause internal strife, as individuals struggle to reconcile their aspirations with lived experience. Yet, it also drives personal and societal evolution, since the mind's ability to imagine ideals serves as a guide for improvement, while its capacity to accept reality anchors us in what is possible.

Navigating this dynamic requires self-awareness, flexibility, and a commitment to ethical living. Self-awareness helps individuals recognize the gap between their ideals and their current reality, while flexibility allows them to adapt without losing sight of their values. Ethical living ensures that the pursuit of ideals does not become destructive or disconnected from human needs. In this way, the conflict between the ideal and the real becomes less of a burden and more of a catalyst for growth, pushing people to refine both their goals and their methods.

Rather than choosing between idealism and realism, an integrated approach—often called practical idealism—offers a sustainable path forward. By honoring both aspirations and limitations, individuals and societies can achieve meaningful progress without falling into despair or disillusionment. This balance allows ideals to remain guiding lights while ensuring that actions are grounded in compassion and realism. Practical idealism thus transforms the ideal-real divide into a dynamic interplay, fostering balanced progress that is both visionary and achievable.

References

1. Plato. *The Republic*. Translated by G.M.A. Grube, Hackett Publishing, 1992.
2. Kant, I. *Groundwork for the Metaphysics of Morals*. Harper & Row, 1964.
3. Festinger, L. (1957). *A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance*. Stanford University Press.
4. Rogers, C. (1961). *On Becoming a Person*. Houghton Mifflin.
5. Camus, A. (1942). *The Stranger*. Vintage International.
6. Gandhi, M.K. (1927). *An Autobiography: The Story of My Experiments with Truth*. Navajivan Publishing.
7. Harari, Y.N. (2014). *Sapiens: A Brief History of Humankind*. Harper.
8. Baumeister, R.F., & Leary, M.R. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 117(3), 497–529.
9. Dweck, C. (2006). *Mindset: The New Psychology of Success*. Random House.
10. ThichNhatHanh. (1991). *Peace Is Every Step*. Bantam Books.